

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,665 05/14/2001		5/14/2001	Mordechai Segal	TI-30245	1323
23494	7590	05/25/2005		EXAMINER	
		NTS INCORPOR	LUGO, DAVID B		
P O BOX 65 DALLAS, T		3999	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2637	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,
. N/
144
V.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/674,665	SEGAL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David B. Lugo	2637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 December 2004</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) □ Claim(s) 23-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 23-30 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• *					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/674,665 Page 2

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 5, last paragraph, filed 12/6/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 23 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of a newly found prior art reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 23 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Decker et al. U.S. Patent 4,980,897.
- 4. Regarding claims 23 and 27, Decker et al. disclose a communication arrangement in Figure 1 comprising a 1:N rate encoder (multi-channel encoder 2) coupled to an input data stream and configured to reproduce a symbol N times, a transmission arrangement (multi-channel transmitter 3) configured to use a plurality of outputs to transmit each reproduced symbol using a distinct transmission channel, and a receiver 5 coupled to the transmission arrangement and configured to perform soft-combining via decoder 6 which performs the Viterbi algorithm (col. 12, lines 3-10) of signals received from the outputs of the transmission arrangement and to output an estimate of the symbol (see col. 3, line 48 to col. 4, line 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/674,665 Page 3

Art Unit: 2637

5. Claims 24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Decker et al. in view of Sayiner et al. U.S. Patent 6,097,769.

6. Regarding claims 24 and 28, Decker et al. disclose a communication arrangement as disclosed above, where the soft-combining performed via the Viterbi algorithm comprises mean squared error (MSE) estimation, as the algorithm selects a path based on the squared Euclidean distance that results in a lowest metric (col. 13, lines 6-22). Further Sayiner et al. expressly states that a Viterbi detector chooses a mostly likely transition based on minimizing the MSE (see col. 2, lines 43-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 25 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Decker et al. in view of Lathrop U.S. Patent 5,701,427.
- 9. Regarding claims 25 and 29, Decker et al. disclose a communication arrangement as described above, but do not expressly disclose transmitting an original message using one of the channels and performing retransmission using a remaining channel.
- 10. Lathrop discloses a communication arrangement where an information message is transmitted over a channel on a communications link 12, and a second retransmit channel is used to transmit retransmission information (col. 7, lines 22-33).

Application/Control Number: 09/674,665

Art Unit: 2637

- 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a channel for retransmission that is separate from that used to transmit an original message in order to allow for retransmission of data not accurately received without interrupting the transmission of the original message.
- 12. Claims 26 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Decker et al. in view of Fenwick et al. U.S. Patent 4,001,692.
- Regarding claims 26 and 30, Decker et al. disclose a communication arrangement as described above, where a plurality of bits are used to represent a symbol to be transmitted (col. 4, lines 48-52), but do not expressly disclose that the transmission channels are used to transmit the information bits using a delay-encoded mapping scheme.
- 14. Fenwick et al. disclose a communication system where a serial input data stream is converted to three or more transmitted data streams, where the transmitter data streams are successively delayed in order to obtain time diversity (col. 2, lines 9-15).
- 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the delay encoded scheme of Fenwick et al. in the system of Decker et al. in order to achieve time diversity.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Lugo whose telephone number is 571-272-3043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 9:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,665 Page 5

Art Unit: 2637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Lugo 5/23/05

KHAITRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER